A PERFORMANCE COMPARISON BETWEEN SUPERIMPOSED AND TIME-MULTIPLEXED TRAINING – MATHEMATICAL DERIVATION DETAILS

Abla Kammoun¹, Karim Abed-Meraim^{1,2}, and Sofiène Affes³

1: Télécom ParisTech 46, rue Barrault, 75634 Paris Cedex 13, France
2: ECE Department, College of Engineering, University of Sharjah, P.O. Box 27272, UAE 3: INRS-EMT, 800, de la Gauchetière, Suite 6900, Montreal, QC, H5A 1K6 Canada

E-mails: kammoun@tsi.enst.fr, abed@tsi.enst.fr, affes@emt.inrs.ca

May 20th 2009

Technical Report # EMT-049-0519

In this report, we provide the details of the proofs for the asymptotic behaviour of the post-processing SNR for the Timemultiplexed training scheme as well as for the data-dependent superimposed training scheme.

I. TIME-MULTIPLEXING TRAINING SCHEME

In [1], we stated the following theorem:

Theorem 1: Under the asymptotic regime, and conditioned on the channel, the post-processing noise experienced by the i-th antenna at each time j for the TDMT scheme behaves asymptotically as a Gaussian random variable:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{j\Re(s^*\Delta\mathbf{W}_t(i,j))}\right] - e^{-\frac{\sigma_w^2 \delta_t \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\right]_{i,i}|s|^2}{4}} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$$

where

$$\delta_t = c_1 (1+r) \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_P^2} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_w^2} + \frac{c_1 (1+r) \sigma_v^4}{\sigma_w^2 \sigma_P^2 (c_2 - 1)}.$$

We provide hereafter some elements of the proof.

We recall in [1] that the channel estimate is given by:

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}_t = \mathbf{H} + \Delta \mathbf{H}_t$$

where $\Delta \mathbf{H}_t = \mathbf{V}_1 \mathbf{P}_t^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathbf{P}_t \mathbf{P}_t^{\mathrm{H}})^{-1}$. After applying the zero forcing linear receiver, the effective post-processing noise $\Delta \mathbf{W}_t$ can be written as:

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}_t = -\mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{W} + \left(\mathbf{H}^{\#} - \mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{H}^{\#}\right) \mathbf{V}_2$$

In the sequel, we propose to determine the asymptotic distribution of the post-processing noise of each entry of the matrix $\Delta \mathbf{W}_t$. Actually the (i, j) entry of $\Delta \mathbf{W}_t$ is given by:

$$\left(\Delta \mathbf{W}_{t}\right)_{i,j} = -\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \mathbf{w}_{j} + \left(\mathbf{h}^{\#}\right)_{i} \left(\mathbf{I}_{K} - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t}\right) \mathbf{H}^{\#} \mathbf{v}_{2,j}$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}$ denotes the *i*th row of $\mathbf{H}^{\#}$, \mathbf{w}_{j} and $\mathbf{v}_{2,j}$ denote *j*th columns of \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{V}_{2} , respectively. Conditioned on \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{V}_{1} and \mathbf{W} , $(\Delta \mathbf{W}_{t})_{i,j}$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean equal to $-\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \mathbf{w}_{j}$ and variance

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{w,N}^2 &= \sigma_v^2 \mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \right) \mathbf{H}^{\#} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \Delta \mathbf{H}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \right) \left(\mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \\ &= \sigma_v^2 \mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \right) \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \Delta \mathbf{H}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \right) \left(\mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic function of a complex Gaussian random variable is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2: Let X_n be a complex Gaussian random variable with mean $m_{X,n}$ and variance $\sigma_{X,n}^2$, such that $\mathbb{E}(X_n - m_{X,n})^2 = 0$. Then, X_n can be seen as a two-dimensional random variable corresponding to its real and imaginary parts. The characteristic function of X_n is therefore given by:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re(s^*X_n)\right)\right] = \exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^*m_{X,n}\right)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^2\sigma_{X,n}^2\right).$$

Applying Theorem2, the conditional characteristic function of $(\Delta \mathbf{W}_t)_{i,j}$ can be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^*\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}_t\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)|\mathbf{V}_1,\mathbf{H},\mathbf{W}\right] = \exp\left(-\jmath\Re\left(s^*\mathbf{h}_i^{\#}\Delta\mathbf{H}_t\mathbf{w}_j\right)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^2\sigma_{w,N}^2\right).$$

To remove the condition expectation on V_1 and W, one should prove that $\sigma_{w,K}^2$ converges almost surely to a deterministic quantity. Actually, $\sigma_{w,N}^2$ can be expanded as follows:

$$\sigma_{w,N}^{2} = \sigma^{2} \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} + \sigma^{2} \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \left(\Delta \mathbf{H}_{t}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} - 2\sigma^{2} \Re \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\right).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\sigma,N} &= \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \left(\Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}}, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma,N} &= \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{t} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

We study in the following the asymptotic behaviour of $A_{\sigma,N}$ and $\epsilon_{\sigma,N}$. But first, let us recall the following known results.

Theorem 3: Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_N]^T$ be a $N \times 1$ vector where the entries x_i are centered iid complex random variables with unit variance and finite fourth order. Let \mathbf{A} be a deterministic $N \times N$ complex matrix with bounded spectral norm. Then,

$$\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{almost surely.}$$

Theorem 4: Almost sure convergence of weighted averages

Let $\mathbf{a}_N = [a_1, \dots, a_N]^{\mathrm{T}}$ be a sequence of $N \times 1$ deterministic of complex vectors with $\sup_N \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{a}_N^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{a}_N < \infty$. Let \mathbf{x}_N be a $N \times 1$ random vector with iid entries such that $\mathbb{E}x_1 = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}|x_1| < \infty$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{a}_N^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{x}_N$ converges almost surely to zero as N tends to infinity.

Using Theorem3, it can be proved that:

$$A_{\sigma,N} - \frac{\sigma_v^2 \left[(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{i,i}}{N_1 \sigma_P^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{almost surely.}$$

Since $\frac{1}{K} \text{Tr} (\mathbf{H}^{\text{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1}$ converges asymptotically to $\frac{1}{c_2-1}$ as the dimensions go to infinity, we get:

$$A_{\sigma,N} - \frac{c_1(1+r)\sigma_v^2}{(c_2-1)\sigma_P^2} \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that Theorem3 can be applied since the smallest eigenvalue of the Wishart matrix ($\mathbf{H}^{H}\mathbf{H}$) are almost surely uniformely bounded away from zero by $(1 - \sqrt{c_2})^2 > 0$, [2]. Also, using theorem 4, we can prove that:

 $\epsilon_{\sigma,N} \longrightarrow 0$ almost surely.

This leads to

$$\sigma_{w,N}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^2 \longrightarrow 0$$
 almost surely.

where $\tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^2$ is given by:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^{2} = \sigma_{v}^{2} \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i} + \frac{c_{1}(1+r)\sigma_{v}^{4}}{(c_{2}-1)\sigma_{P}^{2}} \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i}$$

Conditioning on H and W, the characteristic function satisfies asymptotically:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^*\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}_t\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)|\mathbf{H},\mathbf{W}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\jmath\Re\left(s^*\mathbf{h}_i^{\#}\Delta\mathbf{H}_t\mathbf{w}_j\right)\right)|\mathbf{W},\mathbf{H}\right]\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^2\tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^2\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely.}$$

Also conditioning on W and H, $\mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{w}_i$ is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and variance

$$\sigma_{m,N}^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{v}^{2}}{N_{1}\sigma_{P}^{2}} \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} \mathbf{w}_{j}^{\mathrm{H}} \left(\mathbf{P}_{t} \mathbf{P}_{t}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{w}_{j} \left(\mathbf{h}_{i}\right)^{\#}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{K}\mathbf{w}_{j}^{H}\mathbf{w}_{j} \longrightarrow \sigma_{w}^{2}$ almost surely, we get that $\sigma_{m,N}^{2}$ converges almost surely to $\tilde{\sigma}_{m,N}^{2}$ where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma}_{m,N}^2 &= \frac{c_1 (1+r) \sigma_v^2 \sigma_w^2}{\sigma_P^2} \left[(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{i,i}, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\jmath \Re \left(s^* \mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{w}_j \right) \right) | \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{H} \right] &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} s^2 \sigma_{m,N}^2 \right). \end{split}$$

Finally, we obtain that conditionally on the channel:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^*\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}_t\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)\right] - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^2\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{m,N}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^2\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely}$$

The proof is concluded by noticing that $\tilde{\sigma}_{m,N}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_{w,N}^2 = \sigma_w^2 \delta_t \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i}$.

II. DATA-DEPENDENT SUPERIMPOSED TRAINING SCHEME

We also stated in [1] the following theorem.

Theorem 5: Under the asymptotic regime, and conditioned on the channel, the post-processing noise experienced by the i-th antenna at each time j behaves asymptotically as a Gaussian mixture random variable, i.e:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{j\Re s^*\Delta \mathbf{W}_d(i,j)}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{Q}} p_i e^{\left(\Re\left(js^*\alpha_i\right)\right)} e^{-\frac{|s|^2 \delta_d \sigma_w^2 \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^H\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\right]_{i,i}}{4}} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$$

where Q is the cardinal of the set of all possible values of $\overline{\mathbf{W}}(i,k) = c_1 \sum_{k=1}^{1/c_1} \mathbf{W}(i,k)$ and p_i is the probability that $\overline{\mathbf{W}}(i,k)$ takes the value α_i . Moreover, δ_d is given by:

$$\delta_d = (1 - c_1) \left(\frac{c_1 \sigma_v^2}{\sigma_{P'}^2} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_{w'}^2} + \frac{c_1 \sigma_v^4}{(c_2 - 1)\sigma_{P'}^2 \sigma_{w'}^2} \right).$$

In the sequel, we provide the proof for this theorem. We recall in [1] that for the data-dependent scheme, the channel estimate is given by:

$$\mathbf{H}_d = \mathbf{H} + \Delta \mathbf{H}_d$$

where $\Delta \mathbf{H}_d = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{P}_d^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathbf{P}_d \mathbf{P}_d^{\mathrm{H}})^{-1}$. After applying the zero forcing linear receiver, the effective post-processing noise $\Delta \mathbf{W}_d$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{W}_d &= -\mathbf{W}\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_d \mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{J} \right) + \left(\mathbf{H}^{\#} - \mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_d \mathbf{H}^{\#} \right) \mathbf{V} \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{J} \right) \\ &= -\mathbf{W}\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_d \mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{J} \right) + \mathbf{H}^{\#} \mathbf{V} \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{J} \right) - \mathbf{H}^{\#} \Delta \mathbf{H}_d \mathbf{H}^{\#} \mathbf{V} \left(\mathbf{I}_N - \mathbf{J} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(\Delta \mathbf{W}_{d}\right)_{i,j} = -\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i}\mathbf{J}_{j} - \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{d}\mathbf{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j} - \mathbf{J}_{j}\right) + \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j} - \mathbf{J}_{j}\right) - \mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{d}\mathbf{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\#}\mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j} - \mathbf{J}_{j}\right)$$

where \mathbf{e}_j and \mathbf{J}_j denotes the *j*th columns of \mathbf{I}_N and \mathbf{J} , respectively and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i$ denotes the *i*th row of the matrix \mathbf{W} . Let $\mathbf{v}_1 =$ where \mathbf{c}_{j} and \mathbf{s}_{j} denotes the function of \mathbf{I}_{N} and \mathbf{s}_{j} respectively and \mathbf{w}_{i} denotes the *i*th row of the matrix \mathbf{W} . Let $\mathbf{v}_{1} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{e}_{j} - \mathbf{J}_{j})$, and $\mathbf{v}_{2} = \left[\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{P}_{d}\mathbf{P}_{d}^{\mathsf{H}})^{-1}\mathbf{p}_{d}^{\mathsf{H}}\right]^{\mathsf{T}}$. where $\mathbf{p}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{K}$ denote the *K* rows of **P**. The vector $[\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}, \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ is a Gaussian vector. Since $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathsf{H}}] = 0$, \mathbf{v}_{1} and \mathbf{v}_{2} are independent. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathsf{H}}] = \sigma_{v}^{2}\left(1 - \frac{K}{N}\right)\mathbf{I}_{N}$, and $\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}] = \frac{\sigma_{v}^{2}}{N\sigma_{p'}^{2}}\left[(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H})^{-1}\right]_{i,i}\mathbf{I}_{K}$. Conditioning on \mathbf{v}_{2} , **H** and **W**, $(\Delta \mathbf{W}_{d})_{i,j}$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean equal to $-\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i}\mathbf{J}_{j} - \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{e}_{j} - \mathbf{J}_{j})$ and \mathbf{v}_{2} are unique $-\frac{2}{N}$.

and variance $\sigma^2_{w_d,N}$ equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{w_{d},N}^{2} &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#} - \mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\#}\right)\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} - \left(\mathbf{H}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{H}}\right)|\mathbf{v}_{2}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\#}\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{H}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{H}}\right] - 2\mathbb{E}\left[\Re\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\#}\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\right)\right] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{K}{N}\right)\sigma_{v}^{2}\left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\right]_{i,i} + \sigma_{v}^{2}(1 - \frac{K}{N})\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} - 2(1 - \frac{K}{N})\Re\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{H}^{\#}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the same techniques as before, it can be proved that:

$$\left(1 - \frac{K}{N}\right)\sigma_{v}^{2}\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\#}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} - \frac{c_{1}\left(1 - c_{1}\right)\sigma_{v}^{4}}{\left(c_{2} - 1\right)\sigma_{P'}^{2}}\left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{H}\right)^{-1}\right]_{i,i} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely}$$

and also that,

$$\mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}^{\#} \left(\mathbf{h}_i^{\#} \right)^{\mathrm{H}} \longrightarrow 0$$
 almost surely.

Therefore,

$$\sigma^2_{w_d,N} - \tilde{\sigma}^2_{w_d,N} \longrightarrow 0$$
 almost surely

where,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{w_d,N}^2 = \left(\sigma_v^2 (1-c_1) + \frac{c_1 (1-c_1) \sigma_v^4}{(c_2 - 1) \sigma_{P'}^2}\right) \left[(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{i,i}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^{*}\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)|\mathbf{H},\mathbf{W},\mathbf{v}_{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\jmath\Re\left(s^{*}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i}\mathbf{J}_{j}+s^{*}\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}-\mathbf{J}_{j}\right)\right)\right)|\mathbf{W},\mathbf{v}_{2}\right]\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^{2}\tilde{\sigma}_{w_{d},N}^{2}\right).$$

Conditioning on W and H, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i \mathbf{J}_j + \mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{J}_j \right)$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean equal to $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i \mathbf{J}_j$ and variance $\sigma^2_{w_m,N}$ given by:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{m_d,N}^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{J}_j\right) \left(\mathbf{e}_j^{\mathrm{H}} - \mathbf{J}_j^{\mathrm{H}}\right) \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{H}} (\mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{H}})^{\mathrm{T}} | \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{H} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_v^2}{N \sigma_{P'}^2} \left[(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{i,i} \left[(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{i,i} \left(\mathbf{e}_j^{\mathrm{H}} - \mathbf{J}_j^{\mathrm{H}}\right) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{H}} \left(\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{J}_j\right) \end{split}$$

Using Theorem 3, we can easily prove that:

$$\sigma^2_{m_d,N} - \tilde{\sigma}^2_{m_d,N} \longrightarrow 0$$
 almost surely,

where

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{m_d,N}^2 = \frac{(1-c_1)\sigma_w^2 \sigma_v^2}{\sigma_{P'}^2} \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i}$$

Conditioning only on H, the conditional characteristic function satisfies:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(j\Re\left(s^*\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}_d\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)|\mathbf{H}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-j\Re\left(s^*\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i\mathbf{J}_j\right)\right)\right]\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^2\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{w_d,N}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_{m_d,N}^2\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Giving the structure of the matrix \mathbf{J} , $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i \mathbf{J}_j$ involves the average of $\frac{1}{c_1}$ symmetric independent and identically distributed discrete random variables, and therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-j\Re\left(s^{*}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i}\right)\right)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} p_{i} \exp\left(j\Re\left(s^{*}\alpha_{i}\right)\right)$$

where Q is the set of all possible values of $\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{i,k} = c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{c_1}} \mathbf{W}_{i,k}$ and p_i is the probability that $\overline{\mathbf{W}}_{i,k}$ takes the value α_i . Consequently;

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^{*}\left(\Delta\mathbf{W}_{d}\right)_{i,j}\right)\right)|\mathbf{H}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{Q}} p_{i}\exp\left(\jmath\Re\left(s^{*}\alpha_{i}\right)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}s^{2}\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{m_{d},N}^{2} + \sigma_{w_{d},N}^{2}\right)\right).$$

We conclude the proof by noting that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{m_d,N}^2 + \sigma_{w_d,N}^2 = \sigma_w^2 \left[\left(\mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} \mathbf{H} \right)^{-1} \right]_{i,i} \delta_d$$

REFERENCES

[1] A. Kammoun, K. Abed-Meraim, and S. Affès, "Superimposed or time-multiplexed training: A performance comparison," *submitted to EUSIPCO*, 2009. [2] J.W. Silverstein, "The Smallest Eigenvalue of a Large Dimensional Wishart Matrix," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1364–1368, 1985.